

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,196	03/10/2004	Thomas Fischer	Q78677	2445	
23373 7590 US26/2099 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GAMI, TEJAL		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/796 196 FISCHER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TEJAL J. GAMI 2121 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/796,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

# DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to a REQUEST FOR CONTINUED
EXAMINATION entered March 9, 2009 for the patent application 10/796196.

### Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-16 were rejected in the last Office Action dated December 24, 2008.

As a response to the December 24, 2008 office action, Applicant has Amended claim 1, and Deleted claim 15.

Claims 1-14 and 16 are now presented for examination in this office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nixon et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 6,032,208).

As to independent claim 1, Nixon discloses a method for automatically configuring a technology module (e.g., automatically assigned by configuration software) (see Col. 29, Lines 20-31), for representing and controlling a technical process system that is connected to a computer user station via at least one interface

Art Unit: 2121

for transferring data (e.g., control of the process is often implemented using microprocessor-based controllers, computers or workstations which monitor the process by sending and receiving commands and data to hardware devices to control) (see Col. 2, Lines 24-27), comprising:

a user specifying type of at least one process element of the process system and the start address of a memory module associated with the process element (e.g., signal type and entry in table) (see Figures 15 and 16; and Col. 28, Lines 32-39); and automatically creating the technology module (e.g., design) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40) by allocating at least one signaling functional element (e.g., signals to implement appropriate operational functions) (see Col. 7, Lines 47-62), at least one an archive data functional element (e.g., elements defined by the function blocks with predefined templates stored in the library) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40) and at least one picture functional element to the process element based on the selected type of the at least one process element (e.g., control template is defined as the grouping of attribute functions that are used to control a process and the methodology used for a particular process control function, the control attributes, variables, inputs, and outputs for the particular function and the graphical views of the function as needed such as an engineer view and an operator view) (see Col. 9, Lines 41-46), wherein the technology module and the at least one signaling element, archive data element or picture element are stored as a logically connected unit (e.g., the microprocessor or computer associates each of the functions or elements defined by the function blocks with predefined templates stored in

Art Unit: 2121

the library and relates each of the program functions or elements to each other according to the interconnections desired by the designer) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40);

wherein the logically connected unit is centrally processed and managed (e.g., central processing unit) (see Col. 9, Lines 24-30), and wherein the automatically creating comprises (e.g., design) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40):

analyzing the selected type of the at least one process element to determine corresponding functional elements (e.g., methodology used for a particular process control function, the control attributes, variables, inputs, and outputs for the particular function and the graphical views of the function as needed such as an engineer view and an operator view) (see Col. 9, Lines 41-46);

retrieving the determined functional elements comprising at least one signaling functional element (e.g., signals to implement appropriate operational functions) (see Col. 7, Lines 47-67), the at least one archive data functional element (e.g., elements defined by the function blocks with predefined templates stored in the library) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40) and the at least one picture functional element (e.g., control template is defined as the grouping of attribute functions that are used to control a process and the methodology used for a particular process control function, the control attributes, variables, inputs, and outputs for the particular function and the graphical views of the function as needed such as an engineer view and an operator view) (see Col. 9, Lines 41-46), that are assigned to the analyzed selected type of the at least one process element (e.g., the microprocessor or computer associates each of the functions or elements defined by the function blocks with predefined templates stored in

Art Unit: 2121

the library and relates each of the program functions or elements to each other according to the interconnections desired by the designer) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40); and

automatically allocating the retrieved functional elements to the technology module (e.g., design an entire process control program using graphical views of predefined functions) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40).

As to dependent claim 2, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the data comprises at least one of process data, state data, open-loop data, and closed-loop control data (e.g., process control environment for transferring and receiving data and control signals) (see Col. 8, Lines 45-51).

As to dependent claim 3, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein a plurality of types of process elements are stored in a library (e.g., elements stored in the library) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-37).

As to dependent claim 4, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 3, wherein the library is provided in the computer user station (e.g., computer associates elements stored in the library) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-37).

As to dependent claim 5, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the at least one signaling functional element, archive data functional element or picture functional element is assigned respectively to individual types of process elements (e.g., signal type) (see Col. 28, Lines 32-39).

As to dependent claim 6, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 5, wherein the at least one signaling functional element, archive data functional element

Art Unit: 2121

and picture functional element is assigned to a group of types of process elements (e.g., signal type) (see Col. 28, Lines 32-39).

As to dependent claim 7, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 5, further comprising modifying the allocation of the signaling functional element, archive data functional element or picture functional element to the individual types of process elements (e.g., signal type) (see Col. 28, Lines 32-39).

As to dependent claim 8, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 6, further comprising modifying the allocation of the signaling functional element, archive data functional element or picture functional element to the group of types of process elements (e.g., signal type) (see Col. 28, Lines 32-39).

As to dependent claim 9, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the signaling functional element is configured to detect object-specific signals of the process element in the computer user station (e.g., signals to configure the central processing unit to implement appropriate operational functions) (see Col. 7, Lines 47-62).

As to dependent claim 10, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the archive data functional element is configured to archive at least one of state data or process data of the process element in the computer user station (e.g., elements defined by the function blocks with predefined templates stored in the library) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-37).

As to dependent claim 11, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the picture functional element is configured to display at least one of object-

Art Unit: 2121

specific signals, state variables or process variables of the process element on the user interface of the computer user station (e.g., control template is defined as the grouping of attribute functions that are used to control a process and the methodology used for a particular process control function, the control attributes, variables, inputs, and outputs for the particular function and the graphical views of the function as needed such as an engineer view and an operator view) (see Col. 9, Lines 41-46).

As to dependent claim 12, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said assigning and said automatic creating are during configuration of the technology module (e.g., design) (see Col. 3, Lines 31-40).

As to dependent claim 13, Nixon teaches the method as claimed in Claim 12, wherein during said automatic creating, a technology module is generated to correspond to the at least one process element specified by the user and wherein, for the generated technology module, at least one of the signaling functional element, the archiving functional element, and the picture functional element is automatically created and allocated (e.g., signal type) (see Col. 28, Lines 32-39).

As to dependent claim 14, Nixon teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the signaling functional element, the archive data functional element and the picture functional element are assigned to the specified type of the process elements (e.g., control template is defined as the grouping of attribute functions that are used to control a process and the methodology used for a particular process control function, the control attributes, variables, inputs, and outputs for the particular function and the graphical views of the function) (see Col. 9, Lines 41-46).

Art Unit: 2121

As to dependent claim 16, the method according to claim 1, wherein the technology module is an operator communication block provided on a user interface which displays representation and control of a least a portion of the technical process system (e.g., control of the process is often implemented using microprocessor-based controllers, computers or workstations which monitor the process by sending and receiving commands and data to hardware devices to control) (see Col. 2, Lines 24-27).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's amendment and arguments filed March 9, 2009 have been fully considered. The amendment does not overcome the original art rejection and the arguments are not persuasive. The following are the Examiner's observations in regard thereto.

# Applicant Argues:

The cited paragraph of Nixon refers to a "process control environment 100 [and] a template generator 124." See of 0, Jines 38-39. In particular, the paragraph describes that "a] control template is defined as the grouping of attribute functions that are used to control a process. However, Applicants respectfully submit that defining a control template as a grouping of attributes is clearly different from analyzing a selected type of a process element to determine corresponding functional elements, as recited in claim 1.

### Examiner Responds:

Examiner is not persuaded. Examiner defines the word analyzing to mean to determine the elements. Therefore see Col. 9, Lines 41-46 of the prior art for methodology used for a particular process control function, the control attributes, variables, inputs, and outputs for the particular function and the graphical views of the function as needed. Under such considerations, the prior art anticipates analyzing a selected type of a process element to determine corresponding functional elements.

Art Unit: 2121

### Applicant Argues:

Merely providing control signals from a local controller to a central processing unit is clearly different from "retrieving the determined functional elements comprising at least one signaling functional element," are recited in claim 1. Although it might be generally true that the signaling functional element "can acquire, e.g., information on motor overheating" (acquire information from a local controller), there is no element retrieved in the process described in Fig. 12 of Nixon, which could be associated with the abovedescribed control signals.

### Examiner Responds:

Examiner is not persuaded. The signals as shown in the office action above are signals to implement <u>appropriate</u> operational functions, such as FieldBus commands to operate FieldBus devices (see example, Col 7, Lines 63-67). Therefore, the claims as written are anticipated by the prior art.

# Applicant Argues:

With respect to the at least one archive data functional element, the Examiner refers to col. 3, lines 31-37. See page 7 of the Office Action. In the cited paragraph, Nixon merely teaches that "function blocks with predefined templates [can be] stored in the library." See col. 3, lines 33-34. However, storing predefined templates in a library is clearly not equivalent to retrieving functional elements comprising at least one archive data functional element in a process of automatically creating a technology module.

### Examiner Responds:

Examiner is not persuaded. See Col. 3, Lines 31-37 where the prior art teaches archive (e.g., stored) data.

### Applicant Argues:

Finally, even if one would assume that elements exist in Nixon which could correspond to a signaling functional element, an archive data functional element and a picture functional element, as recited in claim 1, nowhere in Nixon it is required that at least one of each of these elements is allocated to a process I/O attribute block of a PIO device, the creation of which corresponds to the creation of a technology module according to daim 1.

#### Examiner Responds:

Art Unit: 2121

Examiner is not persuaded. See Col. 3, Lines 31-40 where a designer could design (e.g., create) an entire process control program using graphical views of predefined functions. Under such considerations, the prior art discloses creation of a technology module using functional elements.

### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejal J. Gami whose telephone number is (571) 270-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2121

/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Tech Center 2100

/TJG/